

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/000,008		Applicant(s) PLOUG ET AL.	
	Examiner Emmanuel Sayoc		Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

(1) Emmanuel Sayoc.

(2) William Lee.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 35 U.S.C. 103a and 102b

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 Masuda U.S. 5,086,689

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to the outlined examiner's amendment in order to further distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2 pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)